arXiv:1011.4641v2 [math-ph] 16 Dec 2010

THE LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS FOR GROSS-PITAEVSKII HIERARCHIES

ZEQIAN CHEN

Abstract. We consider the Cauchy problem for the Gross-Pitaevskii infinite linear hierarchy of equations on $\mathbb{R}^n$. By introducing a quasi-norm in certain Sobolev type spaces of sequences of marginal density matrices, we establish local existence, uniqueness and stability of solutions. This quasi-norm is compatible with the usual Sobolev space norm when the initial data is factorized. Explicit space-time type estimates for the solutions are obtained. The results hold without the assumption of factorized initial conditions.

1. Introduction

We consider the Cauchy problem (initial value problem) for the Gross-Pitaevskii infinite linear hierarchy of equations on $\mathbb{R}^n$, of the form

$$\begin{cases} \big(\mathrm{i}\partial_t + \triangle^{(k)}_{\pm}\big)\gamma^{(k)}_t(\mathbf{x}_k;\mathbf{x}'_k) = \mu\big[B^{(k)}(\gamma^{(k+1)}_t)\big](\mathbf{x}_k;\mathbf{x}'_k), \\ \gamma^{(k)}_{t=0}(\mathbf{x}_k;\mathbf{x}'_k) = \gamma^{(k)}_0(\mathbf{x}_k;\mathbf{x}'_k), \quad k = 1,2,\dots, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{x}_k = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), \mathbf{x}'_k = (x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{kn}, \mu = \pm 1$, and the sequence of functions $\Gamma(t) = \{\gamma^{(k)}_t\}_{k \geq 1}$ is referred as a Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy (GP hierarchy), which are symmetric, in the sense that

$$\gamma^{(k)}_t(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}'_k) = \overline{\gamma^{(k)}_t(\mathbf{x}'_k, \mathbf{x}_k)}$$

and

$$\gamma^{(k)}_t(x_1,\dots,x_k; x'_1,\dots,x'_k) = \gamma^{(k)}_t(x_{\sigma(1)},\dots,x_{\sigma(k)}; x'_{\sigma(1)},\dots,x'_{\sigma(k)})$$

for any $\sigma \in \Pi_k$ (Π_k denotes the set of permutations on k elements). Here,

$$\triangle^{(k)}_{\pm} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\Delta_{x_j} - \Delta_{x'_j}) \quad \text{and} \quad B^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} B_{j,k},$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q55, 81V70.

Key words: Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy, nonlinear Schrodinger equation, Cauchy problem, local well-posedness.

where Δ_{x_j} refers to the usual Laplace operator with respect to the variables $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and the operators $B_{j,k} = B^1_{j,k} - B^2_{j,k}$ are defined according to

$$\begin{aligned}&[B^1_{j,k}(\gamma^{(k+1)})](\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}'_k)\\ &\quad = \int \mathrm{d}x_{k+1}\mathrm{d}x'_{k+1}\delta(x_{k+1} - x'_{k+1})\delta(x_j - x_{k+1})\gamma^{(k+1)}(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x}'_{k+1}),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&[B^2_{j,k}(\gamma^{(k+1)})](\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}'_k)\\ &\quad = \int \mathrm{d}x_{k+1}\mathrm{d}x'_{k+1}\delta(x_{k+1} - x'_{k+1})\delta(x'_j - x_{k+1})\gamma^{(k+1)}(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}, \mathbf{x}'_{k+1}).\end{aligned}$$

We refer to the works of Erdös, Schlein and Yau in [7, 8, 9, 10] and references therein for the background of the GP hierarchy (1.1) and some recent fundamental results in this area.

Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then one can easily verify that a particular solution to (1.1) with factorized initial datum

$$\gamma^{(k)}_{t=0}(\mathbf{x}_k; \mathbf{x}'_k) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \varphi(x_j)\overline{\varphi(x'_j)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

is given by

$$\gamma^{(k)}_{t}(\mathbf{x}_k; \mathbf{x}'_k) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \varphi_t(x_j)\overline{\varphi_t(x'_j)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where φ_t satisfies the cubic non-linear Schrödinger equation

$$\mathrm{i}\partial_t\varphi_t = -\Delta\varphi_t + \mu|\varphi_t|^2\varphi_t, \quad \varphi_{t=0} = \varphi, \tag{1.2}$$

which is *defocusing* if $\mu = 1$, and *focusing* if $\mu = -1$. We refer to [1] and references therein for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

Recently, T.Chen and N.Pavlović [2] started to investigate the Cauchy problem for the GP hierarchy (1.1) without the assumption of factorized initial conditions. By introducing certain Sobolev type spaces $\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi$ of sequences of marginal density matrices with the parameter $0 < \xi < 1$ (see Remark 2.3 below), they prove local existence and uniqueness of solutions. However, there appear two different parameters in their results, that is, for the initial data in $\mathcal{H}^\alpha_{\xi_1}$ the solution lies in $\mathcal{H}^\alpha_{\xi_2}$ for some $0 < \xi_2 < \xi_1$. Another drawback of $\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi$ is that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi}$ is not compatible with the Sobolev norm of H^α for factorized hierarchies $\Gamma = \{\gamma^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1}$ with

$$\gamma^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}_k; \mathbf{x}'_k) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \varphi(x_j)\overline{\varphi(x'_j)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \varphi \in \mathrm{H}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{1.3}$$

This means that the Cauchy problem (1.1) in $\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi$ is not equivalent to the one (1.2) in H^α when the initial condition is factorized.

In this paper we will eliminate these two undesirable issues. As a crucial ingredient of our arguments, we will define a quasi-norm in certain Sobolev type spaces of sequences of marginal density matrices, i.e., we introduce a quasi-Banach space $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ of all hierarchies $\Gamma = \{\gamma^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1} \in \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{H}_k^\alpha$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^k} \|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0,$$

where $\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha = \mathrm{H}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{kn} \times \mathbb{R}^{kn})$, equipped with the quasi-norm

$$\|\Gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha} := \frac{1}{2} \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^k} \|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Our main result in this paper is that the Cauchy problem for the GP hierarchy (1.1) is locally well posed in $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ for $\alpha > \max\{1/2, (n-1)/2\}$. On the other hand, one can easily verify that $\|\Gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha} = \|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha}^2$ for all factorized hierarchies Γ of the form (1.3), i.e., the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}$ is compatible with $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha}$ for any factorized hierarchy and then the Cauchy problem (1.1) in $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ is equivalent to the one (1.2) in H^α whenever the initial condition is factorized. This explains the reason why we introduce the parameter-free space $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ in place of $\mathcal{H}_\xi^\alpha$ mentioned above.

As in [2], we refer to (1.1) as the cubic GP hierarchy. We note that the cubic GP hierarchy accounts for 2-body interactions between the Bose particles (see [6] for details). For $\mu = 1$ or $\mu = -1$ we refer to the corresponding GP hierarchies as being defocusing or focusing, respectively.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some notations and the main results are presented. The main results are then proved in Sections 3 and 4. Our proof involves some natural and subtle techniques beyond the usual Picard-type fixed point argument. Finally, in Section 5, we will extend the result obtained for the cubic GP hierarchy to the so-called quintic one.

2. Preliminaries and statement of the main result

In order to state our main results, we require some more notation. We usually denote by x a general variable in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and by $\mathbf{x}_k = (x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ a point in $\mathbb{R}^{kn}$. We always use $\gamma^{(k)}, \rho^{(k)}$ for denoting symmetric functions in $\mathbb{R}^{kn} \times \mathbb{R}^{kn}$. For $k \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha = \mathrm{H}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{kn} \times \mathbb{R}^{kn})$ the space of measurable functions $\gamma^{(k)} = \gamma^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_k')$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn} \times \mathbb{R}^{kn})$, which are symmetric, such that

$$\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} := \|S^{(k,\alpha)} \gamma^{(k)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn} \times \mathbb{R}^{kn})} < \infty,$$

where

$$S^{(k,\alpha)} := \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left[(1 - \Delta_{x_j})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (1 - \Delta_{x_j'})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right].$$

Evidently, H_k^α is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle \gamma^{(k)}, \rho^{(k)} \rangle = \big\langle S^{(k,\alpha)}\gamma^{(k)},\ S^{(k,\alpha)}\rho^{(k)} \big\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{kn}\times\mathbb{R}^{kn})}.$$

Moreover, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha}$ is invariance under the action of $e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle_\pm^{(k)}}$, i.e.,

$$\|e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle_\pm^{(k)}}\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} = \|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha}$$

because $e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle_\pm^{(k)}}$ commutates with Δ_{x_j} for any j.

Definition 2.1. *For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we define*

$$\mathcal{H}^\alpha = \left\{ \{\gamma^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1} \in \bigotimes_{k=1}^\infty \mathrm{H}_k^\alpha :\ \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda^k}\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0 \right\},$$

equipped with the quasi-norm

$$\big\|\{\gamma^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1}\big\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha} := \frac{1}{2}\inf\left\{ \lambda > 0 :\ \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda^k}\|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} \leq 1 \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Remark 2.1. *It is easy to check that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}$ satisfies the triangle inequality and $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ is only a quasi-Banach space because $\|\lambda\Gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha} = |\lambda|\|\Gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}$ does not hold in general.*

We will prove the local existence, uniqueness, and stability of solutions to the GP hierarchy (1.1) in $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ for $\alpha > \max\{1/2,\ (n-1)/2\}$. In integral formulation, (1.1) can be written as

$$\gamma_t^{(k)} = e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle_\pm^{(k)}}\gamma_0^{(k)} + \int_0^t ds\ e^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\triangle_\pm^{(k)}}\tilde{B}^{(k)}\gamma_s^{(k+1)},\ k = 1, 2, \dots\ , \tag{2.2}$$

whereafter $\tilde{B}^{(k)} = -\mathrm{i}\mu B^{(k)}$. As noted in [2], such a solution can be obtained by solving the following infinite linear hierarchy of integral equations

$$\tilde{B}^{(k)}\gamma_t^{(k+1)} = \tilde{B}^{(k)}e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle_\pm^{(k+1)}}\gamma_0^{(k+1)} + \int_0^t ds\,\tilde{B}^{(k)}e^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\triangle_\pm^{(k+1)}}\tilde{B}^{(k+1)}\gamma_s^{(k+2)}, \tag{2.3}$$

for any $k \geq 1$. If we write

$$\hat{\triangle}_\pm\Gamma := \{\triangle_\pm^{(k)}\gamma^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{B}\Gamma := \{\tilde{B}^{(k)}\gamma^{(k+1)}\}_{k\geq 1},$$

then (2.2) and (2.3) can be written as

$$\Gamma(t) = e^{\mathrm{i}t\hat{\triangle}_\pm}\Gamma_0 + \int_0^t ds\ e^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\hat{\triangle}_\pm}\hat{B}\Gamma(s) \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\hat{B}\Gamma(t) = \hat{B}e^{\mathrm{i}t\hat{\triangle}_\pm}\Gamma_0 + \int_0^t ds\,\hat{B}e^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\hat{\triangle}_\pm}\hat{B}\Gamma(s), \tag{2.5}$$

respectively.

Let us make the notion of solution more precise.

Definition 2.2. *For $T > 0$, $\Gamma(t) = \{\gamma_t^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1} \in C([0,T], \mathcal{H}^\alpha)$ is said to be a local (strong) solution to the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy* (1.1) *if for every $k = 1, 2, \ldots,$*

$$\gamma_t^{(k)} = e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle_\pm^{(k)}}\gamma_0^{(k)} + \int_0^t ds\, e^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\triangle_\pm^{(k)}}\tilde{B}^{(k)}\gamma_s^{(k+1)}, \quad \forall t \in [0,T], \tag{2.6}$$

holds in H_k^α.

Remark 2.2. *Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{H}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and set for $k \geq 1$,*

$$\gamma^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}_k; \mathbf{x}'_k) = \prod_{j=1}^k \varphi(x_j)\overline{\varphi(x'_j)}.$$

An immediate computation yields that

$$\big\|\{\gamma^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1}\big\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha} = \|\varphi\|^2_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Thus, for $T > 0$, $\varphi_t \in C([0,T], \mathrm{H}^\alpha)$ is a solution to (1.2) *with the initial value $\varphi_t|_{t=0} = \varphi$ if and only if*

$$\Gamma(t) = \{\gamma_t^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1} \text{ with } \gamma_t^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}_k; \mathbf{x}'_k) = \prod_{j=1}^k \varphi_t(x_j)\overline{\varphi_t(x'_j)}$$

is a solution to (1.1) *in $C([0,T], \mathcal{H}^\alpha)$ with $\Gamma(t)|_{t=0} = \{\gamma^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1}$. This yields that the Cauchy problem* (1.1) *in $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ is equivalent to the one* (1.2) *in H^α whenever initial conditions are factorized.*

The following is one of the main results in this paper.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $n \geq 1$ and $\alpha > n/2$. The Cauchy problem* (1.1) *is locally well posed. More precisely, there exists a constant $C_{n,\alpha} > 0$ depending only on n and α such that*

(i) *For every $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma_0^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1} \in \mathcal{H}^\alpha$ with $T = 1/[4C_{n,\alpha}\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}]$, there exists a solution $\Gamma(t) = \{\gamma_t^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1} \in C([0,T], \mathcal{H}^\alpha)$ to the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy* (1.1) *with the initial data Γ_0 satisfying*

$$\|\Gamma(t)\|_{C([0,T],\mathcal{H}^\alpha)} \leq 2\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}. \tag{2.7}$$

(ii) *Given $T_0 > 0$, if $\Gamma(t)$ and $\Gamma'(t)$ in $C([0,T_0], \mathcal{H}^\alpha)$ are two solutions to* (1.1) *with initial conditions $\Gamma_{t=0} = \Gamma_0$ and $\Gamma'_{t=0} = \Gamma'_0$ in $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ respectively, then*

$$\|\Gamma(t) - \Gamma'(t)\|_{C([0,T],\mathcal{H}^\alpha)} \leq 2\|\Gamma_0 - \Gamma'_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}, \tag{2.8}$$

for $T = 1/[4C_{n,\alpha}\ \|\Gamma(t) - \Gamma'(t)\|_{C([0,T_0];\mathcal{H}^\alpha)}]$.

To state another main result, we introduce

Definition 2.3. *For $T > 0$, we define $L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ to be the space of all strongly measurable functions $\Gamma(t) = \{\gamma_t^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1}$ on $[0,T]$ with values in $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ such that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^k} \int_0^T \|\gamma_t^{(k)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} dt < \infty \quad \textit{for some } \lambda > 0,$$

equipped with the quasi-norm

$$\|\Gamma(t)\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha} := \frac{1}{2} \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^k} \int_0^T \|\gamma_t^{(k)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} dt \leq 1 \right\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Evidently, $L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ with $\|\cdot\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha}$ is a quasi-Banach space.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that $n \geq 2$ and $\alpha > (n-1)/2$. Then, the Cauchy problem for the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy (1.1) is locally well posed in $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$. More precisely, there exist an absolute constant $A > 2$ and a constant $C = C_{n,\alpha} > 0$ depending only on n and α such that*

1. *For every $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma_0^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1} \in \mathcal{H}^\alpha$ with $T = 1/[4C_{n,\alpha}A^2\|\Gamma_0\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}]$, there exists a solution $\Gamma(t) = \{\gamma^{(k)}(t)\}_{k\geq 1} \in C([0,T],\mathcal{H}^\alpha)$ to (1.1) with the initial data $\Gamma(0) = \Gamma_0$ satisfying*

$$\|\hat{B}\Gamma(t)\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha} \leq 4A\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}. \tag{2.10}$$

2. *Given $T_0 > 0$, if $\Gamma(t) \in C([0,T_0],\mathcal{H}^\alpha)$ so that $\hat{B}\Gamma(t) \in L^1_{t\in[0,T_0]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ is a solution to (1.1) with the initial data $\Gamma(0) = \Gamma_0$, then (2.10) holds true provided $T = 1/[4C_{n,\alpha}A^2 \max\{\|\hat{B}\Gamma(t)\|^2_{L^1_{t\in[0,T_0]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha}, \|\Gamma_0\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}\}]$.*
3. *Given $T_0 > 0$, if $\Gamma(t)$ and $\Gamma'(t)$ in $C([0,T_0],\mathcal{H}^\alpha)$ with $\hat{B}\Gamma(t), \hat{B}\Gamma'(t) \in L^1_{t\in[0,T_0]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ are two solutions to (1.1) with initial conditions $\Gamma(0) = \Gamma_0$ and $\Gamma'(0) = \Gamma'_0$ in $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ respectively, then*

$$\|\Gamma(t) - \Gamma'(t)\|_{C([0,T],\mathcal{H}^\alpha)} \leq (1+4A)\|\Gamma_0 - \Gamma'_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}, \tag{2.11}$$

for $T = 1/[4C_{n,\alpha}A^2 \max\{\|\hat{B}[\Gamma(t) - \Gamma'(t)]\|^2_{L^1_{t\in[0,T_0]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha}, \|\Gamma_0 - \Gamma'_0\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}\}]$.

Remark 2.3. *Let $0 < \xi < 1$. We set*

$$\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi = \left\{ \Gamma = \{\gamma^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1} \in \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{H}_k^\alpha : \|\Gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi} := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi^k \|\gamma^{(k)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} < \infty \right\}.$$

Equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi}$, $\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi$ is a Banach space. This space is defined in [2] for studying the initial problem of (1.1). The local well-posedness obtained there states that for initial data $\Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{H}^\alpha_{\xi_1}$ with $\xi_1 > 0$, there exists a unique solution $\Gamma(t) \in C([0,T],\mathcal{H}^\alpha_{\xi_2})$ for some $0 < \xi_2 < \xi_1$ and $T > 0$ (see also [5] for some improvements). That is, there are two different parameters ξ_1, ξ_2 in their result. On the other hand, the norm $\|\Gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\xi}$ is not compatible with $\|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha}$ for factorized hierarchies Γ of the form (1.3) and hence the

Cauchy problem (1.1) *in* $\mathcal{H}_\xi^\alpha$ *is not equivalent to the one* (1.2) *in* H^α *when the initial condition is factorized.*

Evidently, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 eliminate these two undesirable issues. This shows that the space $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ *with the quantity* (2.1) *seems more suitable for studying the Cauchy problem of the GP hierarchy* (1.1).

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that* $n \geq 1$ *and* $\alpha > \dfrac{n}{2}$. *Then, there exists a constant* $C_{n,\alpha} > 0$ *depending only on* n *and* α *such that, for any* $\gamma^{(k+1)} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{(k+1)n} \times \mathbb{R}^{(k+1)n})$,

$$\|B_{j,k}\gamma^{(k+1)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} \leq C_{n,\alpha}\|\gamma^{(k+1)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+1}^\alpha},$$

for all $k \geq 1$, *where* $j = 1, \cdots, k$.

Consequently, $B^{(k)}$ *can be extended to a bounded operator from* H_{k+1}^α *to* H_k^α, *still denoted by* $B^{(k)}$, *satisfying*

$$\|B^{(k)}\gamma^{(k+1)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} \leq C_{n,\alpha}k\|\gamma^{(k+1)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+1}^\alpha}. \tag{3.1}$$

for all $\gamma^{(k+1)} \in \mathrm{H}_{k+1}^\alpha$.

This result can be found in [2] and [5]. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is divided into two parts as follows.

Proof. (i) Let $\alpha > n/2$. Given $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma_0^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1} \in \mathcal{H}^\alpha$. For $m \geq 1$, set

$$\gamma_{m,t}^{(k)} = e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle_\pm^{(k)}}\gamma_0^{(k)} + \int_0^t \mathrm{d}s\, e^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\triangle_\pm^{(k)}}\tilde{B}^{(k)}\gamma_{m-1,s}^{(k+1)}, \quad t > 0, k \geq 1, \tag{3.2}$$

with the convention $\gamma_{0,t}^{(k)} \equiv \gamma_0^{(k)}$. By expansion, for every $m \geq 1$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{m,t}^{(k)} =& e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle_\pm^{(k)}}\gamma_0^{(k)} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\int_0^t \mathrm{d}t_1\int_0^{t_1}\mathrm{d}t_2\cdots\int_0^{t_{j-1}}\mathrm{d}t_j e^{\mathrm{i}(t-t_1)\triangle_\pm^{(k)}}\tilde{B}^{(k)}\cdots\\ &\times e^{\mathrm{i}(t_{j-1}-t_j)\triangle_\pm^{(k+j-1)}}\tilde{B}^{(k+j-1)}e^{\mathrm{i}t_j\triangle_\pm^{(k+j)}}\gamma_0^{(k+j)}\\ &+\int_0^t \mathrm{d}t_1\int_0^{t_1}\mathrm{d}t_2\cdots\int_0^{t_{m-1}}\mathrm{d}t_m e^{\mathrm{i}(t-t_1)\triangle_\pm^{(k)}}\tilde{B}^{(k)}\cdots\\ &\times e^{\mathrm{i}(t_{m-1}-t_m)\triangle_\pm^{(k+j-1)}}\tilde{B}^{(k+m-1)}\gamma_0^{(k+m)}\\ \triangleq&\sum_{j=0}^m \Xi_{j,t}^{(k)},\end{aligned}$$

with the convention $t_0 = t$. Then, for $j = 1, \cdots, m-1$, by Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Xi_{j,t}^{(k)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} \leq & \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t_1 \int_0^{t_1} \mathrm{d}t_2 \cdots \int_0^{t_{j-1}} \mathrm{d}t_j \Big\| e^{\mathrm{i}(t-t_1)\triangle_\pm^{(k)}} \tilde{B}^{(k)} \cdots \\
& \times e^{\mathrm{i}(t_{j-1}-t_j)\triangle_\pm^{(k+j-1)}} \tilde{B}^{(k+j-1)} e^{\mathrm{i}t_j \triangle_\pm^{(k+j)}} \gamma_0^{(k+j)} \Big\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} \\
\leq & \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t_1 \int_0^{t_1} \mathrm{d}t_2 \cdots \int_0^{t_{j-1}} \mathrm{d}t_j k \cdots \\
& \times (k+j-1)(C_{n,\alpha})^j \| e^{\mathrm{i}t_j \triangle_\pm^{(k+j)}} \gamma_0^{(k+j)} \|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+j}^\alpha} \\
= & \frac{t^j}{j!} k \cdots (k+j-1)(C_{n,\alpha})^j \|\gamma_0^{(k+j)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+j}^\alpha} \\
= & \binom{k+j-1}{j} (C_{n,\alpha} t)^j \|\gamma_0^{(k+j)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+j}^\alpha},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Xi_{m,t}^{(k)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} \leq & \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t_1 \int_0^{t_1} \mathrm{d}t_2 \cdots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} \mathrm{d}t_m \Big\| e^{\mathrm{i}(t-t_1)\triangle_\pm^{(k)}} \tilde{B}^{(k)} \cdots \\
& \times e^{\mathrm{i}(t_{m-1}-t_m)\triangle_\pm^{(k+j-1)}} \tilde{B}^{(k+m-1)} \gamma_0^{(k+m)} \Big\|_{\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} \\
\leq & \int_0^t \mathrm{d}t_1 \int_0^{t_1} \mathrm{d}t_2 \cdots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} \mathrm{d}t_m \; k \cdots \\
& \times (k+m-1)(C_{n,\alpha})^m \|\gamma_0^{(k+m)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+m}^\alpha} \\
\leq & \frac{t^m}{m!} k \cdots (k+m-1)(C_{n,\alpha})^m \|\gamma_0^{(k+m)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+m}^\alpha} \\
= & \binom{k+m-1}{m} (C_{n,\alpha} t)^m \|\gamma_0^{(k+m)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+m}^\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, for $T > 0$ (T will be fixed in the sequel) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\gamma_{m,t}^{(k)}\|_{C([0,T],\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha)} \leq & \sum_{j=0}^m \|\Xi_j^{(k)}\|_{C([0,T],\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha)} \\
\leq & \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{k+j-1}{j} (C_{n,\alpha} T)^j \|\gamma_0^{(k+j)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+j}^\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $\lambda > 0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lambda^k}\|\gamma_{m,t}^{(k)}\|_{C([0,T],\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha)} \\
&\qquad \le \sum_{j=0}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lambda^k}\binom{k+j-1}{j}(C_{n,\alpha}T)^j\|\gamma_0^{(k+j)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+j}^\alpha} \\
&\qquad \le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lambda^k}\binom{k+j-1}{j}(C_{n,\alpha}T)^j\|\gamma_0^{(k+j)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+j}^\alpha}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

By the direct computation, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lambda^k}\binom{k+j-1}{j}(C_{n,\alpha}T)^j\|\gamma_0^{(k+j)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+j}^\alpha} \\
&\qquad =\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\lambda^{\ell-j}}\binom{\ell-1}{j}(C_{n,\alpha}T)^j\|\gamma_0^{(\ell)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_\ell^\alpha} \\
&\qquad =\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1}\binom{\ell-1}{j}(C_{n,\alpha}T\lambda)^j\frac{1}{\lambda^\ell}\|\gamma_0^{(\ell)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_\ell^\alpha} \\
&\qquad =\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}(1+C_{n,\alpha}T\lambda)^{\ell-1}\frac{1}{\lambda^\ell}\|\gamma_0^{(\ell)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_\ell^\alpha} \\
&\qquad \le\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}+C_{n,\alpha}T\right)^\ell\|\gamma_0^{(\ell)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_\ell^\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set $\Gamma_m(t) = \{\gamma_{m,t}^{(k)}\}$. Let $T = 1/[4C_{n,\alpha}\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}]$. Then, by choosing $\lambda = 4\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}$ we conclude from (3.4) that

$$\|\Gamma_m(t)\|_{C([0,T],\mathcal{H}^\alpha)} \le 2\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}. \tag{3.5}$$

Now, fix $k \ge 1$, by the above estimates for $\Xi_{j,t}^{(k)}$ we have for any m, n with $n > m \gg k$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\gamma_{m,t}^{(k)}-\gamma_{n,t}^{(k)}\|_{C([0,T],\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha)} &\le 2\sum_{j=m}^{n}\binom{k+j-1}{j}(C_{n,\alpha}T)^j\|\gamma_0^{(k+j)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+j}^\alpha} \\
&\le 2\sum_{j=m}^{n}\binom{2j-1}{j}(C_{n,\alpha}T)^j\|\gamma_0^{(k+j)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+j}^\alpha} \\
&\le 2\sum_{j=m}^{n}\frac{4^j}{\sqrt{j}}(C_{n,\alpha}T)^j\|\gamma_0^{(k+j)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+j}^\alpha} \\
&\le 2\frac{\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}^k}{\sqrt{m}}\sum_{j=m}^{n}\frac{1}{\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}^{k+j}}\|\gamma_0^{(k+j)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+j}^\alpha} \to 0,
\end{aligned}$$

as $m\to\infty$, where we have used Stirling's formula $m!\approx m^{m+1/2}e^{-m}$ by which we have $\binom{2m-1}{m}\approx\frac{4^m}{\sqrt{m}}$. This concludes that for every $k\geq 1$, $\gamma^{(k)}_{m,t}$ converges in $C([0,T],\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k)$, whose limitation is denoted by $\gamma^{(k)}_t$.

Set $\Gamma(t)=\{\gamma^{(k)}_t\}_{k\geq 1}$. By Lemma 3.1 one has

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\int_0^t \mathrm{d}s\, e^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\triangle^{(k)}_\pm}\tilde{B}^{(k)}[\gamma^{(k+1)}_{m-1,s}-\gamma^{(k+1)}_{n-1,s}]\Big\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k}&\\ \leq\int_0^T \mathrm{d}s\|\tilde{B}^{(k)}[\gamma^{(k+1)}_{m-1,s}-\gamma^{(k+1)}_{n-1,s}]\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k}&\\ \leq kC_{n,\alpha}T\|\gamma^{(k+1)}_{m-1,t}-\gamma^{(k+1)}_{n-1,t}\|_{C([0,T],\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+1})}.&\end{aligned}$$

Then, taking $m\to\infty$ in (3.2) we conclude that $\Gamma(t)$ is a solution to (1.1). Moreover, taking $m\to\infty$ in (3.5) we obtain (2.7).

(ii) Given $T_0>0$. Suppose $\Gamma(t),\Gamma'(t)\in C([0,T_0],\mathcal{H}^\alpha)$ are two solutions to (1.1) with the initial datum Γ_0 and Γ'_0 in $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$, respectively. Since (1.1) is linear, it suffices to consider $\Gamma(t)$ instead of $\Gamma(t)-\Gamma'(t)$. By (2.2), for every $m\geq 1$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{(k)}_t=&e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle^{(k)}_\pm}\gamma^{(k)}_0+\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\int_0^t\mathrm{d}t_1\int_0^{t_1}\mathrm{d}t_2\cdots\int_0^{t_{j-1}}\mathrm{d}t_j e^{\mathrm{i}(t-t_1)\triangle^{(k)}_\pm}\tilde{B}^{(k)}\cdots\\ &\times e^{\mathrm{i}(t_{j-1}-t_j)\triangle^{(k+j-1)}_\pm}\tilde{B}^{(k+j-1)}e^{\mathrm{i}t_j\triangle^{(k+j)}_\pm}\gamma^{(k+j)}_0\\ &+\int_0^t\mathrm{d}t_1\int_0^{t_1}\mathrm{d}t_2\cdots\int_0^{t_{m-1}}\mathrm{d}t_m e^{\mathrm{i}(t-t_1)\triangle^{(k)}_\pm}\tilde{B}^{(k)}\cdots\\ &\times e^{\mathrm{i}(t_{m-1}-t_m)\triangle^{(k+m-1)}_\pm}\tilde{B}^{(k+m-1)}\gamma^{(k+m)}_{t_m}\\ &\triangleq\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\Xi^{(k)}_{j,t}+\tilde{\Xi}^{(k)}_{m,t},\end{aligned}$$

with the convention $t_0=t$. Note that,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{\Xi}^{(k)}_{m,t}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k}\leq&\int_0^t\mathrm{d}t_1\int_0^{t_1}\mathrm{d}t_2\cdots\int_0^{t_{m-1}}\mathrm{d}t_m\Big\|e^{\mathrm{i}(t-t_1)\triangle^{(k)}_\pm}\tilde{B}^{(k)}\cdots\\ &\times e^{\mathrm{i}(t_{m-1}-t_m)\triangle^{(k+m-1)}_\pm}\tilde{B}^{(k+m-1)}\gamma^{(k+m)}_{t_m}\Big\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k}\\ \leq&\int_0^t\mathrm{d}t_1\int_0^{t_1}\mathrm{d}t_2\cdots\int_0^{t_{m-1}}\mathrm{d}t_m\, k\cdots\\ &\times(k+m-1)(C_{n,\alpha})^m\|\gamma^{(k+m)}_{t_m}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+m}}\\ \leq& m(m+1)\cdots(2m-1)(C_{n,\alpha})^m\\ &\times\int_0^t\mathrm{d}t_1\int_0^{t_1}\mathrm{d}t_2\cdots\int_0^{t_{m-1}}\|\gamma^{(k+m)}_{t_m}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+m}}\mathrm{d}t_m.\end{aligned}$$

Set $T = 1/[4C_{n,\alpha}\ \|\Gamma(t)\|_{C([0,T_0];\mathcal{H}^\alpha)}]$. Then, combining the above estimate and (3.3) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\gamma_t^{(k)}\|_{C([0,T];\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k)} \le & \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{k+j-1}{j} (C_{n,\alpha}T)^j \|\gamma_0^{(k+j)}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+j}} \\
& + m(m+1)\cdots(2m-1)(C_{n,\alpha})^m \\
& \quad \times \int_0^T \mathrm{d}t_1 \int_0^{t_1} \mathrm{d}t_2 \cdots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} \|\gamma_{t_m}^{(k+m)}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+m}} \mathrm{d}t_m \\
\le & \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+j-1}{j} \Big(\frac{1}{4\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}}\Big)^j \|\gamma_0^{(k+j)}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+j}} \\
& \quad + \big(C_{n,\alpha}T\big)^m \binom{2m-1}{m} \|\gamma_t^{(k+m)}\|_{C([0,T];\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+m})} \\
\le & \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+j-1}{j} \Big(\frac{1}{4\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}}\Big)^j \|\gamma_0^{(k+j)}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+j}} \\
& \quad + \frac{\|\Gamma(t)\|^k_{C([0,T_0];\mathcal{H}^\alpha)}}{4^m} \binom{2m-1}{m} \frac{\|\gamma_t^{(k+m)}\|_{C([0,T];\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+m})}}{\|\Gamma(t)\|^{k+m}_{C([0,T_0];\mathcal{H}^\alpha)}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\binom{2m-1}{m} \approx \frac{4^m}{\sqrt{m}}$, taking $m \to \infty$ we conclude that

$$
\|\gamma_t^{(k)}\|_{C([0,T];\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k)} \le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+j-1}{j} \Big(\frac{1}{4\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}}\Big)^j \|\gamma_0^{(k+j)}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+j}}.
$$

Then, taking $\lambda = 4\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^k} \|\gamma_t^{(k)}\|_{C([0,T];\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k)} \\
& \le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{k+j-1}{j} (C_{n,\alpha}T\lambda)^j \frac{1}{\lambda^{k+j}} \|\gamma_0^{(k+j)}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+j}} \\
& \le \sum_{\ell \ge 1} \Big(\frac{1}{2\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}}\Big)^\ell \|\gamma_0^{(\ell)}\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha_\ell} \le 1.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.6}
$$

This concludes that $\|\Gamma(t)\|_{C([0,T];\mathcal{H}^\alpha)} \le \|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}$. This proves (2.8). □

Remark 3.1. *From the above proof we find that the assumption that functions γ are symmetric can be dropped in Theorem 2.1.*

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

For simplicity, we denote by $L^2_t(\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k) = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{H}^\alpha_k)$, equipped with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{L^2_t(\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k)} = \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(t)\|^2_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k} dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Evidently, $L_t^2(\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha)$ is a Hilbert space.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $n \geq 2$ and $\alpha > (n-1)/2$. Then there exists a constant $C_{n,\alpha}$ depending only on n and α such that, for any symmetric $\gamma^{(k+1)} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{(k+1)n} \times \mathbb{R}^{(k+1)n})$,*

$$\|B_{j,k} e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle_\pm^{(k+1)}} \gamma^{(k+1)}\|_{L_t^2(\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha)} \leq C_{n,\alpha} \|\gamma^{(k+1)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+1}^\alpha}, \tag{4.1}$$

for all $k \geq 1$, where $j = 1, \cdots, k$.

Consequently, $B^{(k)}$ can be extended to a bounded operator from H_{k+1}^α to H_k^α, still denoted by $B^{(k)}$, satisfying

$$\|B^{(k)} e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle_\pm^{(k+1)}} \gamma^{(k+1)}\|_{L_t^2(\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha)} \leq C_{n,\alpha} k \|\gamma^{(k+1)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+1}^\alpha},$$

for all $\gamma^{(k+1)} \in \mathrm{H}_{k+1}^\alpha$.

This lemma was proved in [11].

As in [11], we introduce the notation

$$D_j^{(k)}(\Gamma)(t) := \int_0^t \cdots \int_0^{t_{j-1}} J_j^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_j) \gamma^{(k+j+1)}(t_j) dt_1 \cdots dt_j$$

for $k, j \geq 1$, where

$$J_j^{(k)}(\mathbf{t}_j) = \prod_{i=1}^{j} e^{\mathrm{i}(t_{i-1}-t_i)\triangle_\pm^{(k+i)}} \tilde{B}^{(k+i)} \tag{4.2}$$

with $\mathbf{t}_j = (t, t_1, \ldots, t_j)$ and with the convention $t_0 = t$. The following lemma is crucial for the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that $n \geq 2$ and $\alpha > (n-1)/2$. Then there exist an absolute constant $A > 2$ and a constant $C_{n,\alpha} > 0$ depending only on n and α so that the estimates below hold*

(1) *For any $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma_0^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1} \in \bigotimes_{k=1}^\infty \mathrm{H}_k^\alpha$,*

$$\left\|\tilde{B}^{(k)} D_j^{(k)}(\Gamma_0)(t)\right\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} \leq kA^{k+j} (C_{n,\alpha} T)^{\frac{j+1}{2}} \|\gamma_0^{(k+j+1)}\|_{\mathrm{H}_{k+j+1}^\alpha}, \tag{4.3}$$

for $k, j \geq 1$ and $T > 0$, where $\Gamma_0(t) = \{e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle_\pm^{(k)}} \gamma_0^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1}$.

(2) *For any $T > 0$ and $\Gamma(t) = \{\gamma^{(k)}(t)\}_{k\geq 1}$ with $\gamma_t^{(k)} \in L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|\tilde{B}^{(k)} D_m^{(k)}(\Gamma)(t)\right\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}_k^\alpha} \\ &\qquad \leq kA^{k+m} (C_{n,\alpha} T)^{\frac{m}{2}} \|B^{(k+m)} \gamma^{(k+m+1)}(t)\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}_{k+m}^\alpha}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

for $k, m \geq 1$.

Proof. The inequalities (4.3) and (4.4) can be proved by using the so-called "board game" argument presented in [11]. For the details see the proof of Proposition A.2 in [2]. ☐

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.2. To this end, we introduce the system

$$\Gamma(t) = e^{\mathrm{i}t\hat{\triangle}_\pm}\Gamma_0 + \int_0^t ds\ e^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\hat{\triangle}_\pm}\Xi_s\ , \tag{4.5}$$

$$\Xi_t = \hat{B}e^{\mathrm{i}t\hat{\triangle}_\pm}\Gamma_0 + \int_0^t ds\,\hat{B}e^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\hat{\triangle}_\pm}\Xi_s\ , \tag{4.6}$$

which is formally equivalent to the system (2.4), (2.5). The proof is divided into three parts as follows.

Proof. (1) Let $\alpha > (n-1)/2$ and $n \geq 2$. Let $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma_0^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1} \in \mathcal{H}^\alpha$ and $\Xi_0 = \{\rho_0^{(k)}(t)\}_{k\geq 1} = 0$. Given $k \geq 1$, for any $m \geq 1$ we define

$$\rho_m^{(k)}(t) = \tilde{B}^{(k)}e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle_\pm^{(k+1)}}\gamma_0^{(k+1)} + \int_0^t ds\tilde{B}^{(k)}e^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\triangle_\pm^{(k+1)}}\rho_{m-1}^{(k+1)}(s) \tag{4.7}$$

for $t \in [0,T]$, where T will be fixed later. Set $\Xi_m(t) = \{\rho_m^{(k)}(t)\}_{k\geq 1}$ for every $m \geq 1$. By expansion, for every $m \geq 2$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_m^{(k)}(t) =&\tilde{B}^{(k)}e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle_\pm^{(k+1)}}\gamma_0^{(k+1)}\\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\tilde{B}^{(k)}\int_0^t\cdots\int_0^{t_{j-1}} dt_1\cdots dt_j e^{\mathrm{i}(t-t_1)\triangle_\pm^{(k+1)}}\tilde{B}^{(k+1)}\\ &\times\cdots e^{\mathrm{i}(t_{j-1}-t_j)\triangle_\pm^{(k+j)}}\tilde{B}^{(k+j)}e^{\mathrm{i}t_j\triangle_\pm^{(k+j+1)}}\gamma_0^{(k+j+1)}\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

with the convention $t_0 = t$, that is,

$$\rho_m^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\tilde{B}^{(k)}D_j^{(k)}(\Gamma_0)(t)$$

with the convenience $D_0^{(k)}(\Gamma_0)(t) = e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle_\pm^{(k+1)}}\gamma_0^{(k+1)}$. By Lemma 4.2 (1) we have

$$\Big\|\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\tilde{B}^{(k)}D_j^{(k)}(\Gamma_0)(t)\Big\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k} \leq k\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}A^{k+j}(\sqrt{C_{n,\alpha}T})^{j+1}\|\gamma_0^{(k+j+1)}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+j+1}}.$$

Then,

$$\|\rho_m^{(k)}\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k} \leq k\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}A^{k+j}(\sqrt{C_{n,\alpha}T})^{j+1}\|\gamma_0^{(k+j+1)}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+j+1}}. \tag{4.9}$$

Set $T := 1/[4C_{n,\alpha}A^2\|\Gamma_0\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}]$. For $\lambda > 0$ one has by (4.9)

$$\sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{1}{\lambda^k}\|\rho_m^{(k)}\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+m}} \leq \sum_{k\geq 1}\Big(\frac{2A}{\lambda}\Big)^k\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\frac{1}{(2\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha})^{j+1}}\|\gamma_0^{(k+j+1)}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+j+1}}.$$

Choosing $\lambda = 8A\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}$, we have

$$\sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{1}{\lambda^k}\|\rho_m^{(k)}\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+m}} \leq \sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{1}{2^k}\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{1}{(2\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha})^{k+j+1}}\|\gamma_0^{(k+j+1)}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+j+1}} \leq 1.$$

This concludes that for every $m \geq 1$, $\Xi_m \in L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ and

$$\|\Xi_m\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha} \leq 4A\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}. \tag{4.10}$$

Now, for fixed $k \geq 1$ and any n, m with $n > m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\rho_m^{(k)} - \rho_n^{(k)}\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k} \\
&\quad\leq \sum_{j=m}^{n-1}(2A)^{k+j}(\sqrt{C_{n,\alpha}T})^{j+1}\|\gamma_0^{(k+j+1)}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+j+1}} \\
&\quad\leq (4A\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha})^k\sum_{j\geq m}\frac{1}{(2\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha})^{k+j+1}}\|\gamma_0^{(k+j+1)}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+j+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes that for each $k \geq 1$, $\rho_m^{(k)}$ converges in $L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k$ as $m \to \infty$, whose limitation is denoted by $\rho^{(k)}$.

Set $\Xi(t) = \{\rho^{(k)}(t)\}_{k\geq 1}$. Note that for any $n, m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\|\int_0^t ds\tilde{B}^{(k)}e^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\triangle^{(k+1)}_\pm}[\rho_{m-1}^{(k+1)}(s) - \rho_{n-1}^{(k+1)}(s)]\Big\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k} \\
&\quad\leq \sum_{\ell=1}^k\int_0^T\int_0^T dtds\big\|B_{\ell,k}e^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\triangle^{(k+1)}_\pm}[\rho_{m-1}^{(k+1)}(s) - \rho_{n-1}^{(k+1)}(s)]\big\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k} \\
&\quad\leq T^{1/2}\sum_{\ell=1}^k\int_0^T ds\big\|B_{\ell,k}e^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\triangle^{(k+1)}_\pm}[\rho_{m-1}^{(k+1)}(s) - \rho_{n-1}^{(k+1)}(s)]\big\|_{L^2_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k} \\
&\quad\leq C_{n,\alpha}kT^{1/2}\|\rho_{m-1}^{(k+1)} - \rho_{n-1}^{(k+1)}\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality with respect to the integral in t in the second inequality and used Lemma 4.1 in the last inequality. Thus, taking $m \to \infty$ in (4.7) we prove that Ξ is a solution to (4.6). Moreover, taking $m \to \infty$ in (4.10) we obtain (2.10).

(2) Fix $T_0 > 0$. Suppose $\Gamma(t) \in C([0, T_0], \mathcal{H}^\alpha)$ is a solution to (1.1) so that $\hat{B}\Gamma(t) \in L^1_{t\in[0,T_0]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha$. Given $T \in (0, T_0]$, which will be fixed later. By

(2.3), for every $m \geq 1$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}^{(k)}\gamma_t^{(k+1)} =& \tilde{B}^{(k)} e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle_\pm^{(k+1)}}\gamma_0^{(k+1)} \\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\tilde{B}^{(k)}\int_0^t\cdots\int_0^{t_{j-1}} dt_1\cdots dt_j e^{\mathrm{i}(t-t_1)\triangle_\pm^{(k+1)}}\tilde{B}^{(k+1)}\\ &\times\cdots e^{\mathrm{i}(t_{j-1}-t_j)\triangle_\pm^{(k+j)}}\tilde{B}^{(k+j)} e^{\mathrm{i}t_j\triangle_\pm^{(k+j+1)}}\gamma_0^{(k+j+1)}\\ &+\tilde{B}^{(k)}\int_0^t\int_0^{t_1}\cdots\int_0^{t_{m-1}} dt_1 dt_2\cdots dt_m e^{\mathrm{i}(t-t_1)\triangle_\pm^{(k+1)}}\tilde{B}^{(k+1)}\\ &\times\cdots e^{\mathrm{i}(t_{m-1}-t_m)\triangle_\pm^{(k+m)}}\tilde{B}^{(k+m)}\gamma^{(k+m+1)}(t_m), \end{aligned}$$

with the convention $t_0 = t$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}^{(k)}\gamma_t^{(k+1)} =& \tilde{B}^{(k)} e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle_\pm^{(k+1)}}\gamma_0^{(k+1)} \\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\tilde{B}^{(k)}D_j^{(k)}(\Gamma_0)(t)+\tilde{B}^{(k)}D_m^{(k)}(\Gamma)(t). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\big\|\tilde{B}^{(k)}\gamma_t^{(k+1)}\big\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} kA^{k+j}(\sqrt{C_{n,\alpha}T})^{j+1}\big\|\gamma_0^{(k+j+1)}\big\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+j+1}} \\ &\quad + kA^{k+m}(\sqrt{C_{n,\alpha}T})^{m}\big\|B^{(k+m)}\gamma_t^{(k+m+1)}\big\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+m}}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $T = 1/[4C_{n,\alpha}A^2\max\{\|\hat{B}\Gamma(t)\|^2_{L^1_{t\in[0,T_0]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha}, \|\Gamma_0\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}\}]$. Taking $m \to \infty$ we have

$$\big\|\tilde{B}^{(k)}\gamma_t^{(k+1)}\big\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k} \leq (2A)^k\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha})^{j+1}}\big\|\gamma_0^{(k+j+1)}\big\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+j+1}}$$

Then, for $\lambda > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{1}{\lambda^k}\big\|\tilde{B}^{(k)}\gamma_t^{(k+1)}\big\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k} \\ &\qquad\leq \sum_{k\geq 1}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{2A}{\lambda}\Big)^k\frac{1}{(2\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha})^{j+1}}\big\|\gamma_0^{(k+j+1)}\big\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+j+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\lambda = 8A\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k\geq 1} &\frac{1}{\lambda^k}\|\tilde{B}^{(k)}\gamma_t^{(k+1)}\|_{L^1_{t\in[0,T]}\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k}\\ &\leq \sum_{k\geq 1}\frac{1}{2^k}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha})^{k+j+1}}\|\gamma_0^{(k+j+1)}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+j+1}} \leq 1.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (2).

(3) Fix $T_0 > 0$. Suppose $\Gamma(t), \Gamma'(t) \in C([0,T_0],\mathcal{H}^\alpha)$ are two solutions to (1.1) such that $\hat{B}\Gamma(t), \hat{B}\Gamma'(t) \in L^1_{t\in[0,T_0]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha$. Since (1.1) is linear, it suffices to consider $\Gamma(t)$ instead of $\Gamma(t) - \Gamma'(t)$. Choosing

$$T = 1/[4C_{n,\alpha}A^2\max\{\|\hat{B}\Gamma(t)\|^2_{L^1_{t\in[0,T_0]}\mathcal{H}^\alpha}, \|\Gamma_0\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}\}],$$

by (2) we have

$$\|\Gamma(t)\|_{C([0,T],\mathcal{H}^\alpha)} \leq \|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha} + 4A\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha} = (1+4A)\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 4.1. *For $n = 3$, using Theorem 1.3 in* [11] *instead of Lemma 4.1 we can prove as done above that the Cauchy problem* (1.1) *is locally well posed in $\mathcal{H}^1$ in the sense of Theorem 2.2. We omit the details.*

5. The quintic Gross–Pitaevskii hierarchy

In this section, we consider the so-called quintic Gross–Pitaevskii hierarchy. Recall that the quintic Gross–Pitaevskii hierarchy $\Gamma(t) = \{\gamma_t^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1}$ is given by

$$i\partial_t\gamma_t^{(k)} = \big[-\Delta^{(k)}, \gamma_t^{(k)}\big] + \mu Q^{(k)}\gamma_t^{(k+2)},\ \Delta^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{k}\Delta_{x_j},\ \mu = \pm 1, \tag{5.1}$$

in n dimensions, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where the operator $Q^{(k)}$ is defined by

$$Q^{(k)}\gamma_t^{(k+2)} = \sum_{j=1}^{k}\mathrm{tr}_{k+1,k+2}\big[\delta(x_j - x_{k+1})\delta(x_j - x_{k+2}), \gamma_t^{(k+2)}\big].$$

It is *defocusing* if $\mu = 1$, and *focusing* if $\mu = -1$. We note that the quintic Gross–Pitaevskii hierarchy accounts for 3-body interactions between the Bose particles (see [3] and references therein for details).

In terms of kernel functions, the Cauchy problem for the quintic GP hierarchy can be written as follows

$$\begin{cases}\big(i\partial_t + \triangle_\pm^{(k)}\big)\gamma_t^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}_k;\mathbf{x}'_k) = \mu\big(Q^{(k)}\gamma_t^{(k+2)}\big)(\mathbf{x}_k;\mathbf{x}'_k),\\ \gamma_{t=0}^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}_k;\mathbf{x}'_k) = \gamma_0^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}_k;\mathbf{x}'_k),\ k\in\mathbb{N},\end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

where, the action of $Q^{(k)}$ on $\gamma^{(k+2)}(\mathbf{x}_{k+2},\mathbf{x}'_{k+2}) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{(k+2)n}\times\mathbb{R}^{(k+2)n})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\big(Q^{(k)}\gamma^{(k+2)}\big)(\mathbf{x}_k,\mathbf{x}'_k) &:= \sum_{j=1}^{k}\big(Q_{j,k}\gamma^{(k+2)}\big)(\mathbf{x}_k,\mathbf{x}'_k)\\ &:= \sum_{j=1}^{k}\int dx_{k+1}dx_{k+2}dx'_{k+1}dx'_{k+2}\gamma^{(k+2)}(\mathbf{x}_k,x_{k+1},x_{k+2};\mathbf{x}'_k,x'_{k+1},x'_{k+2})\\ &\quad\times\Big[\prod_{\ell=k+1}^{k+2}\delta(x_j-x_\ell)\delta(x_j-x'_\ell)-\prod_{\ell=k+1}^{k+2}\delta(x'_j-x_\ell)\delta(x'_j-x'_\ell)\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi\in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then one can easily verify that a particular solution to (5.2) with initial conditions

$$\gamma^{(k)}_{t=0}(\mathbf{x}_k;\mathbf{x}'_k)=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\varphi(x_j)\overline{\varphi(x'_j)},\quad k=1,2,\dots,$$

is given by

$$\gamma^{(k)}_{t}(\mathbf{x}_k;\mathbf{x}'_k)=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\varphi_t(x_j)\overline{\varphi_t(x'_j)}\ k=1,2,\dots,$$

where φ_t satisfies the quintic non-linear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t\varphi_t=-\Delta\varphi_t+\mu|\varphi_t|^4\varphi_t,\quad \varphi_{t=0}=\varphi. \tag{5.3}$$

The Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy (5.2) can be written in the integral form

$$\gamma^{(k)}_t=e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle^{(k)}_{\pm}}\gamma^{(k)}_0+\int_0^t ds\ e^{\mathrm{i}(t-s)\triangle^{(k)}_{\pm}}\tilde{Q}^{(k)}\gamma^{(k+2)}_s,\ k=1,2,\dots, \tag{5.4}$$

where $\tilde{Q}^{(k)}=-i\mu Q^{(k)}$. Formally we can expand the solution $\gamma^{(k)}_t$ of (5.4) for any $m\geq 1$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{(k)}_t&=e^{\mathrm{i}t\triangle^{(k)}_{\pm}}\gamma^{(k)}_0+\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\int_0^t dt_1\int_0^{t_1}dt_2\cdots\int_0^{t_{j-1}}dt_j e^{\mathrm{i}(t-t_1)\triangle^{(k)}_{\pm}}\tilde{Q}^{(k)}\cdots\\ &\qquad\times e^{\mathrm{i}(t_{j-1}-t_j)\triangle^{(k+2(j-1))}_{\pm}}\tilde{Q}^{(k+2(j-1))}e^{\mathrm{i}t_j\triangle^{(k+2j)}_{\pm}}\gamma^{(k+2j)}_0\\ &\quad+\int_0^t dt_1\int_0^{t_1}dt_2\cdots\int_0^{t_{m-1}}dt_m e^{\mathrm{i}(t-t_1)\triangle^{(k)}_{\pm}}\tilde{Q}^{(k)}\cdots\\ &\qquad\times e^{\mathrm{i}(t_{m-1}-t_m)\triangle^{(k+2(m-1))}_{\pm}}\tilde{Q}^{(k+2(m-1))}\gamma^{(k+2m)}_{t_m},\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

with the convention $t_0=t$.

Let $\mathbf{q} = (p_{k+1}, p_{k+2})$ and $\mathbf{q}' = (p'_{k+1}, p'_{k+2})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(Q_{j,k}\gamma^{(k+2)}\big)(\mathbf{p}_k, \mathbf{p}'_k) \\
&= \int d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{q}' \big[\gamma^{(k+2)}(p_1, \ldots, p_j + p_{k+1} + p_{k+2} - p'_{k+1} - p'_{k+2}, \ldots, p_k, \mathbf{q}; \mathbf{p}'_{k+2}) \\
&\qquad - \gamma^{(k+2)}(\mathbf{p}_{k+2}; p'_1, \ldots, p'_j + p'_{k+1} + p'_{k+2} - p_{k+1} - p_{k+2}, \ldots, p'_k, \mathbf{q}')\big]
\end{aligned}$$

It is proved in [3] (Theorem 4.3 there) that for $\alpha > n/2$ there exists a constant $C_{n,\alpha} > 0$ depending only on n and α such that

$$\|Q_{j,k}\gamma^{(k+2)}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_k} \leq C_{n,\alpha}\|\gamma^{(k+2)}\|_{\mathrm{H}^\alpha_{k+2}}, \quad \forall j = 1, \ldots, k.$$

Then, by slightly repeating the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that $n \geq 1$ and $\alpha > n/2$. The Cauchy problem* (5.2) *is locally well posed. More precisely, there exists a constant $C_{n,\alpha} > 0$ depending only on n and α such that*

(i) *For every $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma_0^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1} \in \mathcal{H}^\alpha$ with $T = 1/[4C_{n,\alpha}\|\Gamma_0\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}]$, there exists a solution $\Gamma(t) = \{\gamma_t^{(k)}\}_{k\geq 1} \in C([0,T], \mathcal{H}^\alpha)$ to the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy* (5.2) *with the initial data Γ_0 satisfying*

$$\|\Gamma(t)\|_{C([0,T],\mathcal{H}^\alpha)} \leq 2\|\Gamma_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}. \tag{5.6}$$

(ii) *Given $T_0 > 0$, if $\Gamma(t)$ and $\Gamma'(t)$ in $C([0,T_0], \mathcal{H}^\alpha)$ are two solutions to* (5.2) *with initial conditions $\Gamma_{t=0} = \Gamma_0$ and $\Gamma'_{t=0} = \Gamma'_0$ in $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ respectively, then*

$$\|\Gamma(t) - \Gamma'(t)\|_{C([0,T],\mathcal{H}^\alpha)} \leq 2\|\Gamma_0 - \Gamma'_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^\alpha}, \tag{5.7}$$

for $T = 1/[4C_{n,\alpha}\ \|\Gamma(t) - \Gamma'(t)\|^2_{C([0,T_0];\mathcal{H}^\alpha)}]$.

Similarly, we can obtain an analogue of Theorem 2.2 for the quintic GP hierarchy. The details are omitted.

REFERENCES

[1] T.Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations,* Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics **10**, American Mathematical Society, 2003.

[2] T.Chen and N.Pavlović, On the Cauchy problem for focusing and defocusing Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchies, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **27** (2), 715-739 (2010).

[3] T.Chen and N. Pavlović, The quintic NLS as the mean field limit of a Boson gas with three-body interactions, 2008, http://arxiv.org/abs/0812.2740

[4] T.Chen, N.Pavlović, and N.Tzirakis, Energy conservation and blowup of solutions for focusing Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchies, *Ann. I.H.Poincaré-AN* **27**, 1271-1290 (2010).

[5] Z.Chen and C.Liu, On the Cauchy problem for Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchies, 2010, http://arxiv.org/abs/1010.3827.

[6] F.Dalfovo, S.Giorgini, L.P.Pitaevskii, and S.Stringari, Theory of Bose-Einstein condensation in trapped gases, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 463-512 (1999).

[7] L.Erdös, B.Schlein, and H.T.Yau, Derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy for the dynamics of Bose-Einstein condensate, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **59**(12), 1659-1741 (2006).

[8] L.Erdös, B.Schlein, and H.T.Yau, Derivation of the cubic non-linear Schrödinger equation from quantum dynamics of many-body systems, *Invent. Math.* **167**, 515-614 (2007).

[9] L.Erdös, B.Schlein, and H.T.Yau, Derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation for the dynamics of Bose-Einstein condensate, *Ann. Math.* to appear, arXiv:math-ph/0606017.

[10] L.Erdös, B.Schlein, and H.T.Yau, Rigorous derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **98**, 040404 (2007).

[11] S.Klainerman and M.Machedon, On the uniqueness of solutions to the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy, *Commun.Math.Phys.* **279**, 169-185 (2008).

Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, West District 30, Xiao-Hong-Shan, Wuhan 430071, China